

Abstract

In the constitution of 1945 (UUD 1945) had been rearrange about institute of supervisor in judicial system that written on chapter 24 B verse 1: “judicial commission having character of independent that have power to suppose the appointment of prominent judge and having the other authority on frame keeping and to construct the respect, gloriousness, status and along with to the judge behavior ” even though for the implementation thing is not accomplished, especially after leave decision court justice constitution No 005/PUU-IV/2006 about the capability judicial commission that submitted on 31 high justice court. The research of methodology that used is judicial normative. As regard to an approach of the problem using *tatute approach* and the conceptual.

The constitution of 1945 do not separated judge definition based on side all of judge on flatlands of the state authority have referred as a judge, in chapter 42 the constitution No 48 on 2009 about judge authority state that:

On the side of keeping and to construct the respect, gloriousness, status and along with to the judge behavior, judicial commission can be analyzed court decision that have been receive the law authority as the based consist recommend to doing the judge mutation” judge control of constitution court after there are the decision MK No. 005/PUU-IV/2006 so judicial commission has no capability to manage of judge constitution court.