

ABSTRACT

Sy.Yusuf. 11.05.111.00048. A Study of Lexical Cohesion Devices in *The Hobbit Part 2: Desolation of Smaug* movie. Thesis, English Study Program, Department of Social And Cultural Sciences, Faculty of Social and Cultural Sciences at University of Trunojoyo Madura. Advisor: Mohammad Halili, M LangSt

This study focus on the type and the most dominant of lexical cohesion devices used in *The Hobbit Part 2: The Desolation of Smaug* movie. This study uses Brian Paltridge (2006), Crystal (1995) and Halliday and Hasan (1976) theories. This research was conducted by using descriptive design. The writer chooses the movie *The Hobbit Part 2: Desolation of Smaug* as the data and the data is the conversation of the movie. The data was analyzed and classified based on the type of lexical cohesion proposed by Brian Paltridge (2006). This study used content-analysis method as the method of data collection. This study used interactive data analysis model as the method of analyzing data. In this study, the writer found fives type of lexical cohesion those are; repetition, synonymy, antonymy, hyponymy and meronymy. The writer found 32 data, from 32 data collected, 15 data belong to repetition, 3 data belong to synonymy, 11 data belong to antonymy, 2 data belong to hyponymy and 1 data belong to meronymy. Furthermore, the most dominant of lexical is repetition which calculated from the data that appear in the conversation of the movie.

Keywords: Analysis, Lexical, Cohesion Devices.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER (OUTER)	i
COVER (INNER)	ii
APPROVAL SHEET I	iii
APPROVAL SHEET II	iv
PRONOUNCEMENT OF THESIS ORIGINALITY	v
MOTTO	vi
DEDICATION	vii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	viii
PRONOUNCEMENT OF THESIS PUBLISHING AGREEMENT	x
ABSTRACT	xi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xii

CHAPTER I : INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statements of the Research Problem	5
1.3 Objectives of the Study	5
1.4 Significance of the Study	6
1.5 Scope and Limitation of the Study	6

CHAPTER II : REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

2.1 Discourse Analysis	7
2.2 Text	8
2.3 Cohesion	8
2.4 Lexical Cohesion	9
2.5 Types of Lexical Cohesion	10
2.5.1 Repetition	10
2.5.2 Synonymy	10
2.5.3 Antonymy	11
2.5.4 Hyponymy	11
2.5.5 Meronymy	12
2.5.6 Collocation	12
2.6 Previous Study	13

CHAPTER III : METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design	15
3.2 Source of Data and Data	16
3.3 Research Instruments	16
3.4 Data Collecting	17
3.5 Data Analysis	19

CHAPTER IV : FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Findings	21
4.2 Discussion	25
4.2.1 Types of Lexical Cohesion	26
4.2.1.1 Repetition	26
4.2.1.2 Synonymy	32
4.2.1.3 Antonymy	33
4.2.1.4 Hyponymy	37
4.2.1.5 Meronymy	38
4.2.2 The Most Dominant of the Lexical cohesion	38

CHAPTER V : CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1 Conclusions	41
5.2 Suggestions	42

REFERENCES

APPENDIXES

LIST OF TABLES

3.1 Collecting data of lexical cohesion on	
The Hobbit Part 2: The Desolation of Smaug Movie	19
4.1 The Types of Lexical Cohesion	22
4.2 Frequency of Lexical Cohesion.....	38

LIST OF FIGURE

3.1 Figure: Miles and Hubberman Data Analysis (1994)	19
--	----

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

01	: The number of the data
THP2TDOS	: Title of movie. (The Hobbit Part 2: The Desolation Of Smaug).
BI	: Bilbo Beggins The name of character of movie.
TO	: Thorin Oakenshield
GD	: Gandafl
SM	: Smaug
TA	: Tauriel
LE	: Legolas
TH	: Thranduil
BE	: Beorn
GA	: Galadriel
RA	: Radagast
BR	: Bard
DW	: Dwalin
BA	: Balin
GL	: Glolin
BO	: Bofur
FI	: Fili
KI	: Kili
DO	: Dori
NO	: Nori
OI	: Oin
OR	: Ori
SI	: Sigrid

TI	: Tilda
OW	: Old Women
OM	: Old Men
FM	: Fisherman
AL	: Alfrid
MA	: Master
SP	: Spider
SPS	: Spiders
OC	: Orc
RE	: Repetition (Kinds of lexical cohesion)
SY	: Synonymy (Kinds of lexical cohesion)
AN	: Antonymy (Kinds of lexical cohesion)
HY	: Hyponymy (Kinds of lexical cohesion)
ME	: Meronymy (Kinds of lexical cohesion)
CO	: Collocation (Kinds of lexical cohesion)